

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,008	06/29/2001	Shinichi Hara	Q65241	5699	
7	590 04/25/2003				
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAMINER		
			DOUGLAS, LISA ANN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752	6	
		DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1/1
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	-
		09/894,008		HARA, SHINICHI	•
, Offi	ce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Lisa A. Douglas		3752	
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess
A SHORTENI THE MAILING Extensions of tirr after SIX (6) MO If the period for r If NO period for r Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F ED DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT he may be available under the provisions of 37 of NTHS from the mailing date of this communicate eply specified above is less than thirty (30) days eply is specified above, the maximum statutory within the set or extended period for reply will, by ed by the Office later than three months after the rm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how ion. s, a reply within the statutory minus period will apply and will expire to statute, cause the application to the statute.	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
	nsive to communication(s) filed o	n 24 March 2003 .			
• - •		This action is non-f	inal.		:
·	this application is in condition for	_		osecution as to the r	nerits is
	in accordance with the practice u				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.			-
4a) Of the	ne above claim(s) <u>22-26</u> is/are wit	hdrawn from consider	ation.		!
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13 and 15-21</u> is/are reject	ed.			1
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7.14 and 27-36</u> is/are objected t	0.			, t
) are subject to restriction	and/or election require	ement.		4
Application Pape	ers				
·	cification is objected to by the Exa			•	:
•	ving(s) filed on is/are: a)		-		
	ant may not request that any objection				
	oosed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.	-
• •	oved, corrected drawings are required		ction.		•
, 	or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner.			į
-	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				i .
,—	ledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	+
, — <u> </u>)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	ertified copies of the priority docu		_		
	ertified copies of the priority docu		• •		
:	opies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation attached detailed Office action for	ial Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		age ;
14) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional ap	plication).
	translation of the foreign languaged				4
Attachment(s)					=
2) 🔲 Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N			(PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1	
S. Patent and Trademark Offi TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)		fice Action Summary		Part of Pa	per No6

5. 新安斯斯市

1. 1. E. H. S. E. V.

Application/Control Number: 09/894,008

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6, 8-13, 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ozawa et al.

Ozawa et al. shows a cleaning nozzle an ejection nozzle portion, minimum diameter 60, gas eject port 58, another inclined portion, cleaning liquid ejection port. Ozawa et al. lacks a gas ejected at a speed higher than that of a cleaning liquid from the cleaning liquid ejection port to transform the cleaning liquid into droplets and to accelerate them. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the Ozawa et al. to have a gas ejected at a speed higher than that of a cleaning liquid from the cleaning liquid ejection port to transform the cleaning liquid into droplets and to accelerate them since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of a result effective

Application/Control Number: 09/894,008

Art Unit: 3752

variable involves routine skill in the art. In regard to claims 5, 12, 19 the ratio between a cross-sectional are of the gas ejection port at its downstream open end and a cross-sectional area of the minimum diameter portion is set to 1: to 1:1.3, and in regard to claims 6, 13, 20 the ratio between a cross-sectional are of the gas ejection port at its downstream open end and a cross-sectional area of the minimum diameter portion is set to 1: to 1:1.3; it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to modify the Ozawa et al. to have the ratio between a cross-sectional area of the gas ejection port at its downstream open end and a cross-sectional area of the minimum diameter portion is set to 1: to 1:1.3 and to have the ratio between a cross-sectional area of the gas ejection port at its downstream open end and a cross-sectional area of the minimum diameter portion is set to 1: to 1:1.3 since it has been held that discovering the optimum value of a result effective variable involves routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 7, 14, 21, and 27-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa A. Douglas whose telephone number is (703) 308-0265. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Tues.

のできます。 これのできない 日本のでは、

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

Lisa A. Douglas
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3752

ld April 22, 2003